BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NOS. 2004-116-C and 2003-339-C

ORDER NO. 2004-368

SEPTEMBER 27, 2004

IN RE:	Docket No. 2004-116-C - Application of Volo)	ORDER GRANTING
	Communications of South Carolina, Inc. for a)	CERTIFICATE
	Certificate of Public Convenience and)	
	Necessity to Provide Local Exchange)	
	Telecommunications Service within the State)	
	of South Carolina and for Flexible Regulation)	
	and)	
	Docket No. 2003-339-C – Application of)	
	Volo Communications of South Carolina, Inc.)	
	for a Certificate of Public Convenience and)	
	Necessity to Provide Interexchange)	
	Telecommunications Services within the State)	
	of South Carolina.)	

This matter comes before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (the Commission) by way of the Applications of Volo Communications of South Carolina, Inc. ("Volo", the "Applicant", or the "Company") for authority to provide facilities-based and resold local exchange and intrastate interexchange telecommunications services within the State of South Carolina. The Company requests that the Commission regulate its local telecommunications services in accordance with the principles and procedures established for flexible regulation in Order No. 98-165 in Docket No. 97-467-C. The

Applications were filed pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-9-280 (Supp. 2003) and the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

By letter, the Commission's Executive Director instructed the Company to publish, one time, a prepared Notice of Filing in newspapers of general circulation in the areas affected by the Applications. The purpose of the Notice of Filing was to inform interested parties of the manner and time in which to file the appropriate pleadings for participation in the proceedings. The Company complied with this instruction and provided the Commission with proof of publication of the Notice of Filing. A Petition to Intervene was received from the South Carolina Telephone Coalition ("SCTC").

Counsel for SCTC filed with the Commission a Stipulation in which the Applicant stipulated that it would only seek authority in non-rural local exchange ("LEC") service areas of South Carolina and that it would not provide any local service to any customer located in a rural incumbent's service area, unless and until Volo provided written notice of its intent to do so at least thirty (30) days prior to the date of the intended service. The Company also stipulated that it was not asking the Commission to make a finding at this time regarding whether competition is in the public interest for rural areas. Volo agreed to abide by all State and Federal laws and to participate to the extent that it may be required to do so by the Commission in support of universally available telephone service at affordable rates. The SCTC withdrew its opposition to the granting of a statewide Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to the Company provided the conditions contained in the Stipulation are met. The Stipulation is approved and attached as Order Exhibit 1.

A hearing was commenced on July 11, 2004, at 11:30 a.m. in the Commission's Hearing Room. The Honorable Randy Mitchell, Chairman, presided. Volo was represented by John J. Pringle, Jr., Esquire. Ken Duarte, Director of Carrier Relations and Regulatory Affairs for the Company, appeared and testified on behalf of Volo. David Butler, General Counsel, represented the Commission Staff.

Mr. Duarte presented testimony to demonstrate the Company's financial, managerial, and technical ability to provide the telecommunications services for which authority is sought in South Carolina. Mr. Duarte adopted the prefiled testimony of the Company's President, Shawn Lewis, and provided his own verbal testimony to support the Company's Applications. The witness also presented testimony regarding his background and experience in business and telecommunications as well as that of the other executives of Volo. Mr. Duarte's testimony and the prefiled testimony of Mr. Lewis, along with exhibits filed with the Company's Applications, evidence that the management team of Volo has extensive experience in the telecommunications industry and that the Company is on sound financial footing to begin operations in South Carolina.

Volo is a wholly owned subsidiary of Caerus, Inc. Both the Company and its parent are Delaware corporations based in Altamont Springs, Florida. Regarding the services the Company seeks to provide in South Carolina, the testimony reveals that Volo proposes to provide local exchange telecommunications service via the unbundled network platform ("UNE-P") and resold interexchange telecommunications services for the direct transmission and reception of voice and data between locations throughout the State of South Carolina. Volo may, at some later date, install its own facilities in South

Carolina and thus seeks authority to operate as both a reseller and facilities based provider of telecommunications services in South Carolina. The Company intends to provide a diverse array of local exchange and interexchange services in South Carolina. Volo intends to provide switching capabilities and to use BellSouth, Verizon, Time-Warner and MCI as its underlying carriers. Volo may offer pre-paid calling cards at some future date and agrees to comply with the Commission's requirements regarding the posting of bond if it does offer such services. Volo intends to operate its customer service center from 9 am to 6 pm and will additionally offer a "trouble line" which will be accessible to its customers on a twenty-four hour a day, seven day a week basis. The Company states that it will provide 911 and enhanced 911 calling to all services. As Volo will be operating as a reseller of local exchange and long distance service, the Company's traffic will initially be routed entirely over the network of its underlying carriers.

The testimony reveals further that Volo will at all times provide and market services in compliance with current Commission policies. In particular, the Company is familiar with Commission Order No. 93-462 regarding resale of intraLATA telecommunications services and will attempt to comply with the terms of that Order in every respect possible. On behalf of Volo, Mr. Duarte agreed that at all times the Company will provide interstate services in compliance with the FCC rules and regulations. Mr. Duarte further testified that the Company intends to market its services through direct solicitation. He further stated that if the Company ever begins to market its services through telemarketers that it will abide by all rules and regulations of this Commission concerning such marketing practices.

Volo has requested waivers of certain Commission rules and regulations. Specifically, Volo has requested a waiver of 26 S.C. Code of Regs. Regulation 103-610 which requires the maintenance of the company's books and records in South Carolina. The Company has also requested that it be allowed to maintain its books and records under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") as opposed to the Uniform System of Accounting ("USOA") as the books and records have been, and are maintained

under GAAP. Additionally, the Company has requested a waiver of the requirement to

publish and distribute a local telephone directory.

Volo's customer service representatives are available to assist the Company's customers and to promptly respond to all customer inquiries. The Company's telephone number and address will be printed on customers' monthly billing statement. Mr. Duarte testified further that the Company's customer service representatives are prepared to respond to a broad range of service matters, including inquiries regarding the types of services offered by Volo and the rates associated with the services; monthly billing statements, problems or concerns pertaining to a customer's current service; and general service matters.

Mr. Duarte testified that the residents of South Carolina will benefit from Volo's service and presence in South Carolina. The testimony reveals that customers in the Company's service territory will receive increased choice and heightened opportunities to obtain improved technology in their homes and businesses. Further, Mr. Duarte opined that the granting of the certificate of authority to provide local exchange service will offer increased efficiency to the State's telecommunications infrastructure through greater

reliability of services and an increase in competitive choices. The testimony reveals the Company's application to provide telecommunication services in other jurisdictions has never been denied, and the Company agrees to abide by and comply with the Commission's rules, regulations, and Orders.

After full consideration of the applicable law, the Company's Applications, and the evidence presented at the hearing, the Commission hereby issues its findings of fact and conclusions of law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Volo is organized as a corporation under the laws of the State of Delaware, is based in the state of Florida, and is authorized to do business as a foreign corporation in the State of South Carolina by the Secretary of State.
- 2. Volo is a provider of local exchange and interexchange telecommunications services and wishes to provide its services in South Carolina.
- 3. Volo has the managerial, technical, and financial resources to provide the services as described in its Applications.
- 4. The Commission finds that Volo's "provision of service will not adversely impact the availability of affordable local exchange service." S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-9-280 (B)(3) (Supp. 2003).
- 5. The Commission finds that Volo will support universally available telephone service at affordable rates.
- 6. The Commission finds that Volo will provide services which will meet the service standards of the Commission.

- 7. The Commission finds that the provision of local exchange service by Volo "does not otherwise adversely impact the public interest." S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-9-280 (B)(5) (Supp. 2003).
- 8. We find that the issuance of the authority requested by Volo is in the best interests of the citizens of the State of South Carolina.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Based on the above findings of fact, the Commission determines that a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity should be granted to Volo to provide competitive intrastate resold and both facilities and non-facilities-based non-rural local exchange service in South Carolina. The terms of the Stipulation between Volo and the SCTC are approved, and adopted as a part of this Order. Any proposal to provide such service to rural service areas is subject to the terms of the Stipulation. In addition, Volo is granted authority to provide resold and facilities-based intrastate interLATA interexchange service and to originate and terminate toll traffic within the same LATA, as set forth herein, through the resale of intrastate Wide Area Telecommunications Services (WATS), Message Telecommunications Service (MTS), directory assistance, travel card service or any other services authorized for resale by tariffs of carriers approved by the Commission.
- 2. Volo shall file, prior to offering local exchange services in South Carolina, its final tariff of its local service offerings conforming to all matters discussed with Staff and comporting with South Carolina law in all matters. Volo's local telecommunications services shall be regulated in accordance with the principles and procedures established

for flexible regulation first granted to NewSouth Communications by Order No. 98-165 in Docket No. 97-467-C. Specifically, the Commission adopts for Volo's competitive intrastate local exchange services a rate structure incorporating maximum rate levels with the flexibility for adjustment below the maximum rate levels that will have been previously approved by the Commission. Further, Volo's local exchange service tariff filings are presumed valid upon filing, subject to the Commission's right within thirty (30) days to institute an investigation of the tariff filing, in which case the tariff filing would be suspended pending further Order of the Commission. Further, any such tariff filings will be subject to the same monitoring process as similarly situated competitive local exchange carriers.

- 3. With regard to the interexchange service offerings of Volo, the Commission adopts a rate design which includes only maximum rate levels for each tariff charge. A rate structure incorporating maximum rate levels has been previously adopted by the Commission. In Re: Application of GTE Sprint Communications Corporation, etc., Order No. 84-622, issued in Docket No. 84-10-C (August 2, 1984).
- 4. Volo shall not adjust its interexchange rates below the approved maximum level without notice to the Commission and to the public. Volo shall file its proposed rate changes, publish its notice of such changes, and file affidavits of publication with the Commission two weeks prior to the effective date of the changes. However, the public notice requirement is waived, and therefore not required, for reductions below the maximum cap in instances which do not affect the general body of subscribers or do not constitute a general rate reduction. In Re: Application of GTE Sprint Communications,

etc., Order No. 93-638, issued in Docket No. 84-10-C (July 16, 1993). Any proposed increase in the maximum rate level for interexchange services reflected in the tariff which would be applicable to the general body of the Company's subscribers shall constitute a general ratemaking proceeding and will be treated in accordance with the notice and hearing provisions of S.C. Code Ann. §58-9-540 (Supp. 2003).

- 5. If it has not already done so by the date of issuance of this Order, Volo shall file its revised interexchange long distance tariff within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Order. The revised tariff shall be consistent with the findings of this Order and shall be consistent with the Commission's Rules and Regulations.
- 6. Volo is subject to access charges pursuant to Commission Order No. 86-584 in which the Commission determined that for access purposes resellers should be treated similarly to facilities-based interexchange carriers.
- 7. With regard to the Company's offering of interexchange services, an enduser should be able to access another interexchange carrier or operator service provider if the end-user so desires.
- 8. Volo shall resell the services of only those interexchange carriers or LECs authorized to do business in South Carolina by this Commission. If Volo changes underlying carriers, it shall notify the Commission in writing.
- 9. With regard to the origination and termination of toll calls within the same LATA, Volo shall comply with the terms of Order No. 93-462, Order Approving Stipulation and Agreement, in Docket Nos. 92-182-C, 92-183-C, and 92-200-C (June 3, 1993), with the exception of the 10-XXX intraLATA dialing requirement, which has

been rendered obsolete by the toll dialing parity rules established by the Federal Communications Commission, pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (See, 47 CFR 51.209). Specifically, the Company shall comply with the imputation standard as adopted by Order No. 93-462 and more fully described in paragraph four of the Stipulation and Appendix B approved by Order No. 93-462.

10. The Company shall, in compliance with Commission regulations, designate and maintain an authorized utility representative who is prepared to discuss, on a regulatory level, customer relations (complaint) matters, engineering operations, tests and repairs. In addition, the Company shall provide to the Commission in writing the name of the authorized representative to be contacted in connection with general management duties as well as emergencies which occur during non-office hours.

Volo shall file the names, addresses and telephone numbers of these representatives with the Commission within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Order. The Company shall utilize the "Authorized Utility Representative Information" form which can be located at the Commission's website at www.psc.state.sc.us/reference/forms.asp to file the names of these representatives with the Commission. Further, the Company shall promptly notify the Commission in writing if the representatives are replaced.

- 11. Volo shall conduct its business in compliance with Commission decisions and Orders, both past and future, including but not limited to, any and all Commission decisions which may be rendered in Docket No. 96-018-C regarding local competition.
- 12. Volo shall be required to comply with Title 23, Chapter 47, South Carolina Code of Laws Ann. which governs the establishment and implementation of a "Public

Safety Communications Center," which is more commonly known as a "911 system" or "911 service." Services available through a 911 system include law enforcement, fire. and emergency medical services. In recognition of the necessity of quality 911 services being provided to the citizens of South Carolina, the Commission hereby instructs Volo to contact the appropriate authorities regarding 911 service in the counties and cities where Volo will be operating. Contact with the appropriate 911 service authorities is to be made before beginning telephone service in South Carolina. Contact with the appropriate authorities is to be made before providing voice or dial tone telephone service in South Carolina. Accompanying this Order is a memo from the State 911 Office at the Office of Research & Statistics of the South Carolina Budget and Control Board. This memo provides information about contacting County 911 Coordinators. By this Order and prior to providing voice or dial-tone services within South Carolina, Volo is directed to contact the 911 Coordinator in each county, as well as the 911 Coordinator in each city where the city has its own 911 system, and shall provide information regarding the Company's operations as required by the 911 system.

- 13. The Company is directed to comply with all Rules and Regulations of the Commission, unless a regulation is specifically waived by the Commission.
- 14. Volo shall file annual financial information in the form of annual reports and gross receipts reports as required by the Commission. The annual report and the gross receipts reports will necessitate the filing of intrastate information. Therefore, Volo shall keep financial records on an intrastate basis for South Carolina in order to comply with the Commission's requirements of filing an annual report and a gross receipts report.

The proper form for filing annual financial information for the annual report may be found on the Commission's website at www.psc.state.sc.us/reference/forms.asp. The title of this form is "Annual Report for CLECs" and/or "Annual Report for Interexchange Companies." The Company shall file the annual report form with the Commission by April 1st of each year.

Commission gross receipts forms are due to be filed with the Commission no later than August 31st of each year. The appropriate form for remitting information for gross receipts is entitled "Gross Receipts Form for Utility Companies" and may also be found on the Commission's website at www.psc.state.sc.us/reference/forms.asp.

- 15. Additionally, pursuant to the Commission's regulations, the Company shall file a "CLEC Service Quality Quarterly Report" with the Commission. The proper form for this report can be found at the Commission's website at www.psc.state.sc.us/reference/forms.asp.
- 16. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) in July of 2000 required all telecommunications carriers throughout the United States to implement three-digit, 711, dialing for access to all Telecommunications Relay Services (TRS). The Commission issued a memorandum in March of 2001 instructing all South Carolina telecommunications carriers to implement the service completely by October of 2001. All competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) and incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs) were instructed to include language in their tariffs introducing 711 as a new service offering with deployment by July 1, 2002, and to translate 711 dialed calls to 1-800-735-2905. All Payphone Service Providers (PSPs) were instructed to modify their

programmable phones to translate calls dialed as 711 to their assigned TRS toll free number 1-800-735-2905 in order to route 711 calls to the TRS provider before October 1, 2001. Additionally, telephone directories were required to be updated and bill inserts promoting 711 were also required. This Company must comply with the applicable mandates. For complete information on compliance with this FCC and Commission requirement, go to the Commission's website at www.psc.state.sc.us/reference/forms.asp.

- 17. For good cause shown, Volo is granted a waiver of the requirement under S.C. Code Ann. Regs. Reg. 103-610 that it be required to maintain its books and records in South Carolina. The Company shall maintain such records at its corporate headquarters in Florida and shall, at its own expense, make its records and books available for inspection by the Commission or its staff at any time upon request.
- 18. For good cause shown, Volo is granted a waiver and is hereby authorized to keep its books and financial records in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles rather than the Uniform System of Accounts method.
- 19. For good cause shown, Volo is granted a waiver of the requirements that the Company publish and distribute a directory under S.C. Code Ann. Reg. 103-631 and that it file service area maps with the Commission. Volo is ordered to contact the incumbent ILECs to ensure that the Company's customers are included in the applicable directory.

DOCKET NOS. 2004-116-C and 2003-339-C - ORDER NO. 2004-368
SEPTEMBER 27, 2004
PAGE 14

	20.	This Order shall remain in full force and effect until further Order of the
Comm	ission.	
	BY OF	RDER OF THE COMMISSION:
		/s/
		Randy Mitchell, Chairman
ATTE	ST:	
	/s/	
G. O'N	Neal Ha	milton, Vice Chairman
(SEAL	ـ)	